

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,879	12/26/2001	Hyung Cheol Moon	P-0290	9272
34610 FLESHNER & P.O. BOX 221	200		EXAMINER GREY, CHRISTOPHER P ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHANTILLY,	VA 20153			
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			!\\\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/025,879	MOON, HYUNG C	HEOL
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner .	Art Unit	
	Christopher P. Grey	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS	F		,.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow), tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	• • • •	ampliant Amandman	F (DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendmen	t (P10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	• ——	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	nils to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			_
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Char 1,1	Myen
		CHAU NGUYEN	<u>.</u>

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**

The applicant argues that the cited references do not disclose the applicants claimed, "wherein the increased second transmission power is calculated based on the first transmission power used by the first station in the first packet data transmission to the second station, a controlled amount of transmission power by the second station, a changed amount of power received at the first station, and a channel compensating value received from the second station".

The examiner maintains that the claimed limitation is addressed with the disclosure of Derryberry, wherein Derryberry discloses increasing or decreasing the transmit power of a mobile station (Col 10 line 38-39 and Col 10 lines 46-47), where the increase or decrease is based on the initial (first) transmission power. Derryberry also discloses a threshold (controlled power) where a measused received power is compared (Col 10 lines 9-12). Derryberry discloses a power control command including a parameter for the increase or decrease of a transmit power (Col 10 lines 36-40), where a changed amount of power and compensating value are not defined within the claim and can be interpretted broadly as the same value or dependents of one another.

C. M. 1/24/017